## STATE OF NEVADA

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT **RELATIONS BOARD**

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 533, Complainant:

**ITEM NO. 424C** 

VS.

CASE NO. A1-045631

CITY OF FALLON. Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

For Complainant:

Michael E. Langton, Esq.

For Respondent:

Donald A. Lattin, Esq.

WALTHER, KEY, MAUPIN, OATS, COX, KLAICH & LeGOY

13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

On or about August 8, 1997, the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 533 (hereafter "Union"), filed a complaint with the Local Government Employee-Management Relations Board (hereafter "Board") alleging bad faith negotiations by the City of Fallon (hereafter "Fallon") in giving its chief negotiator the authority to negotiate a collective bargaining agreement for Fallon which included the parties agreeing to final and binding arbitration on all grievance matters, including discipline, and subsequently withdrawing that authority and that agreement.

Fallon responded to the complaint on September 9, 1997, and ultimately a hearing was held on February 25, 1998, during which witnesses were heard, oral arguments were presented by counsel, as well as the presentation of exhibits.

A decision was entered (Item #424) which contained erroneous findings of fact and conclusions of law concerning a perceived agreement by the parties to seek resolution of the issue of binding arbitration on disciplinary matters through another forum thus making the prohibited practices complaint moot. When it was discovered that the parties had not agreed to resolve the issue "through another forum," a modified decision was entered finding the City had engaged in a prohibited practice of bad faith bargaining (Item 424A).

 A petition for judicial review was filed thereafter, and the matter briefed. The Honorable Judge David A. Huff of the Third Judicial District Court vacated the prior orders and remanded the matter with instructions to reconsider the issue of alleged bad faith practices by Fallon.

This matter was scheduled for deliberations pursuant to Nevada's Open Meeting Law and the Third Judicial District Court order, and the Board conducted such deliberations on the 31st day of May, 2000.

BASED upon the arguments contained in the parties' briefs and rebuttal briefs, and good cause appearing therefrom, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, although Fallon's negotiator may not have made a full and adequate disclosure concerning the necessity of final approval by the City Council and although Fallon's negotiator may not have made a full and adequate disclosure concerning the City Council's stand on final and binding arbitration on disciplinary matters as it relates to Article 23 of the collective bargaining agreement and the authority vested under Fallon's City Code 2.36.310, such knowledge of the City Code and of the parties' procedures for final approval was within the possession of the negotiators for the Union; therefore, based upon the Board's re-review of the circumstances surrounding the negotiation process, as thoroughly briefed by the parties, the acts and/or omissions complained of do not rise to the level of bad faith bargaining by the City of Fallon.

IT IS, THEREFORE, FURTHER ORDERED that the complaint on file herein is dismissed with prejudice.

DATED this 30th day of June, 2000.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE- GOVERNMENT RECATIONS BOARD
By Harry Gelswerter
DAVID GOLDWATER, Chalconac
By KAREN L. McKAY, Vice-Chairperson
By Andre Sielarsiel
JAMES E. WILKERSON, SR., Board Member